



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/621,085	07/21/2000	Andreas Kruger	042933/300242	4806	
826	7590 05/09/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
ALSTON	& BIRD LLP	MILLER, B	MILLER, BRANDON J		
BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA 101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	TE, NC 28280-4000	12 1000	2617		
			DATE MAILED: 05/09/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/621,085	KRUGER ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Brandon J. Miller	2617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
 THE REPLY FILED 20 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APRIL. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folioplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of 	n the same day as filing a Notice of pwing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The replies of the final rejection.	f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ly must be filed within	ence, which CFR 41.31; or n one of the
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI).	f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension at CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 27 April 2006. A brief date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a second content of the property of the second content of the property	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejection in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 I	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, materials be filed within two	on fee under 37) as set forth in (b) ay reduce any vo months of the
appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl AMENDMENTS	y must be filed within the time peri	od set forth in 37 CFf	R 41.37(a).
 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) 	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); tter form for appeal by materially recorresponding number of finally re	TE below); educing or simplifying	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.7 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	121. See attached Notice of Non-C		
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profit the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		vill be entered and an	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessarian.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).
 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered by Sec Continuation Short 			
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
	SUPERVIS	GEORGE ENG GORY PATENT EXA	MINER

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Skala teaches determining different volume settings for different vehicle speeds (see col. 3, lines 59-67 and col. 4, lines 1-5), this volume and speed pairing relates to the claimed "vehicle- specific condition". These volume and speed pairings are obviously made during a period in which the vehicle is operating (see col. 3, lines 59-61 & 65-67 and col. 4, lines 3-5), which relates to the claimed "time period of vehicle operation". Skala teaches the different volume and speed pairings being stored (see col. 4, lines 3-5 & 26-30), this relates to converting the "vehicle-specific conditions" into a driving profile because the volume and speed pairings relate to "vehicle-specific conditions", and by being stored they are converted into a "driving profile". Oda teaches a suspending mode that is preferable from the viewpoint of preventing the possibility of a traffic accident (see col. 4, lines 11-14 and TABLE), this relates to dangerous and non-dangerous driving situations.